

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/593,424	06/14/2000	Katsuya Irie	1081.1091/JDH	8248
21171 7	590 , 11/12/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2673	

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/593,424	IRIE ET AL.				
7.00.0	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L Lewis	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a vinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandonent which the contract which are applicated as a second abandones.	ntion. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official in the produce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official in the produce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official in the produce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked is the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked is the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked is the calculated from 2 is the calculated from 3 is the calculated from	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 October 2004</u> . A	appellant's Brief must be filed wit	hin the period set forth in				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		the appeal.				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: Proposed amendment requires further se						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. ☐ Other:	// //					
	Mo					
	BIPIN SUPERVISORY	SHALWALA PATENT EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNIOLOGY CENTER 2600